DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 451 797 HE 033 927

AUTHOR Gee, Jerry Brooksher

TITLE Assessing Performance Outcomes in Complex Areas of the

Curriculum for Graduates in Educational Leadership Programs.

PUB DATE 2000-11-00

NOTE 6p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Southern

Regional Council on Educational Administration (Nashville,

TN, November 11-13, 2000).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Curriculum; Evaluation Methods; *Graduate Students; Graduate

Study; Higher Education; Individual Development;

*Instructional Leadership; *Performance Based Assessment;

Principals; *Standards

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to direct graduate students enrolled in an Educational Leadership program during 1999 and 2000 to achieve three standards with performance outcomes and attributes of evidence and to reflect on the strengths and weaknesses found in the implementation of each standard. The study involved 40 graduates enrolled in a summer session and 55 enrolled in a spring session. The three standards stated that, on completion of course requirements, the graduate should be able to: (1) use an eclectic philosophical approach in assuming various leadership roles, and make decisions based on pertinent theories of educational leadership; (2) discuss how developmental differences in learners vary according to age groups; and (3) demonstrate a rationale for designing curricula with provisions for accommodating different modalities of learning. The first standard was demonstrated by graduates enrolled in a principalship class through simulated activities that included setting priorities and analyzing case studies. The second standard was demonstrated in a panel presentation by six graduates who reviewed materials from the literature related to age differences in learning. The third standard was also demonstrated through research and video presentations related to teaching styles and learning modes and modalities. An analysis of these activities demonstrated the usefulness of cooperative learning in small groups and the importance of activities that support educational theories learned by the participants. (SLD)



ASSESSING PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES IN COMPLEX AREAS OF THE CURRICULUM FOR GRADUATES IN EDUCATIONAL LEADERSHIP PROGRAMS

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

A Paper

Presented to

The Southern Regional Council on Educational Administration 2000 Annual Conference Nashville, Tennessee November 11 - 13, 2000

by

Jerry Brooksher Gee, Ed.D. College of Education Nicholls State University Thibodaux, Louisiana



Professors in Educational Leadership programs have in the past utilized performance based outcomes as a partial means of assessing degrees to which graduate students have reached pre-set standards and objectives. Additionally, a significant number of accreditating agencies and state boards of education are moving toward performance based assessment. Difficulty in selecting suitable attributes of evidence supporting these outcomes has been a prevailing problem in complex areas of the graduate curriculum. One problematic area was found in curriculum development; another in problem-solving within an administrative framework.

The purpose of this study was to direct graduates enrolled in an Educational Leadership program during the 1999 Summer Session and Spring of 2000 to (1) pilot three standards with performance outcomes and attributes of evidence, and (2) to reflect on the strengths and weaknesses found in the implementation of each.

The methodology in this study involved 40 graduates, enrolled in a secondary education curriculum class during the 1999 Summer Session. Fifty-five graduates enrolled in an elementary/secondary principalship class, Spring Semester 2000, also were included in the study.

The three standards with performance objectives and attributes of evidence were selected as follows.

The candidate, upon completion of course requirements, should be able to:

- (1) use an eclectic philosophical approach in assuming various leadership roles, and make decisions based on pertinent theories of educational leadership.
- (2) discuss how developmental differences in the learners vary according to age groups.
- (3) have acquired a rationale for designing curricula with provisions for accommodating different modalities of learning.



The results of the study revealed that a standard, involving ability of graduates to design curricula for a heterogeneous class of students, may well be evidenced by panels of graduates presenting characteristics of "human growth and development". "Diversity in learning styles" can effectively be taught using video tapes and activities in small groups. It was also found that standards and performance objectives relating to educational leadership can effectively be evidenced by using prioritizing simulations and analysis of case studies requiring decisions based on administrative theory. These and other suitable attributes were examined and reflected upon in the study.

The first standard was applicable to performance skills and was demonstrated by the graduates enrolled in an elementary/secondary principalship class. Using simulated activities, the graduates were required to prioritize mail and telephone messages left for a beginning principal during a week of his absence prior to the opening of school. These activities were followed by problematic analyses of hypothetical case studies, with possible solutions discussed by the graduates. The proposed solutions were based on an administrative theoretical framework.

The second standard involved an identification of developmental differences in learners as they vary according to age groups. This performance was evidenced by a two-hour panel presentation by six graduates. Each graduate presented parts of a computer slide presentation with material selected from classical articles compiled in a text. The articles reviewed included studies by of Havighurst, Ausubel, Kohlberg, and others. Each person in the class received an outline of the presentation to use as a guide. The panel presentation culminated in a question and answer-type discussion.



The third objective was also evidenced by researching articles compiled in a text; and from current video presentations relating to different teaching styles, learning modes, and modalities. The format for this performance included relevant activities, which were appropriate for class participation in small groups. These activities appeared to be effective in assisting the graduates to grasp concepts and form generalizations. The generalizations in turn initiated broad discussion.

The following reflections from this study provided insight regarding the format of both classes:

- (1) Direct instruction should be altered with cooperative learning in small groups.
- (2) Discussion is more effective when initiated in small groups; then opened to the full class.
- (3) Participation in appropriate activities by graduate students of theory definitely enhance practical learning.
- (4) Panel presentations provide an opportunity not only to vary instruction, but also allow for individuals to display their expertise in knowledge and cognition.
- (5) Analyses of case studies is an effective means of implementing theory.
- (6) This study supports the premise found in the literature that teachers in secondary schools generally teach as they have been taught.



5

RELATED REFERENCES

- Ashby, Dianne E. and Samuel E. Krug (1998). Thinking through the principalship. Larchmont, New York: Eye on Education, Inc.
- Calabrese, Gary Short and Sally Zepeda (1996). Hands-on leadership tools for principals. Princeton, New Jersey: Eye on Education, Inc.
- Callahan, Joseph F., Leonard H. Clark and Richard D. Kellough (1998). Teaching in the middle and secondary schools. Sixth Edition. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Hass, Glen and Forrest W. Parkay (1993). Curriculum planning: A new approach. Sixth Edition. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Snowden, Petra E. and Richard A.Gorton (1998). School leadership and administration: Important concepts, case studies and simulations. Fifth Edition. McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.



6



U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

	(Specific Document)	
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	:	
Title: ASSESSING PERFORMANO FOR GRADUATES IN EDU	CE OUTCOMES IN COMPLEX ARE, JCATIONAL LEADERSHIP PROGR.	AS OF THE CURRICULUM AMS
Author(s): Jerry Brooksher	Gee	
Corporate Source: Nicholls Sta	ate University	Publication Date: 11/12/2000
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Res and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC	timely and significant materials of interest to the edu ources in Education (RIE), are usually made availab Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit	le to users in microfiche, reproduced paper conv
reproduction release is granted, one of the following life permission is granted to reproduce and dissent of the page. The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	ninate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of	The sample sticker shown below will be
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	Affixed to all Level 2A documents PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	affixed to all Level 28 documents PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 2A	INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 2B
† X	Level 2A	Level 2B
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
Documen If permission to repr	ts will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality produce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be proc	ermits. essed at Level 1.

as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.	c media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system e for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencie
Signature: Jezzy Brooksher (Jee	Printed Name/Position/Title: Jerry Brooksher Gee Professor of Education
Ordanization/Addréss: College of Education Nicholls State University Thibodaux, Louisiana 70310	Telephona: (504) 448-4344 FAX: E-Mail Address: Date: 3/19/01
	as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is mad to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries. Signature: Ordanization/address: College of Education Nicholls State University

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:		
Address:		 _
	·	
Price:		
W/ DEFENDAL OF TOLORS CONT.		
	GHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER: omeone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate	name and
If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by so		name and
If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by so address:		name and

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:
ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE ON ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
1129 SHRIVER LAB
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742
ATTN: ACQUISITIONS

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility 4483-A Forbes Boulevard Lanham, Maryland 20706

Telephone: 301-552-4200
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-552-4700

for the free for

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

EFF-088 (Rev. 2/2000)